Anthikad area—Thrice.

(b)	Urakam (Cherpu Station)	Anthikad Out-post
1116	3	Nil
1117	2	1
1118	4	2
1119	Nil	Nil
(c)	Urakam (Cherpu) Station and locality	Anthikad Out-post and locality
1116	20	16
1117	21	23
1118	15	17
1119	5	22

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakannathukavu):* May I know whether Anthikad outpost has been changed into a charging station.

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police);* Anthikad outpost has been recently changed into a charging station.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Is it separate from Cherpu station?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):* Yes.

Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu):* Is there any reason for this change?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Suddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):* In view of the increase in crimes and being on the border of British Territory it was considered necessary to change it.

PROSECUTION OF PIECE GOODS MERCHANTS UNDER THE DEFENCE OF INDIA RULES

73. Mr. M. Krishna Menon *(Trichur-North):* Will Government be pleased to state the number of prosecutions of piece goods merchants under the Defence of India Rules?

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddhiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):* There has been no prosecution till 11th July 1944.

Mr. M. Krishna Menon *(Trichur-North):* May I know in view of the answer what presumption Government would draw in regard to this matter—whether the cloth control is satisfactory in the State.

Khan Sahib Muhammad Habibuddin Siddihiki Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police):* I cannot say. It is a matter of opinion.

PRICE OF FUEL IN GOVERNMENT DEPOTS

74. Mr. C. K. Nayar *(Nemmara):*

നെമ്മാറ, ചിറ്റൂർ, തൃശ്ശിവപേരൂർ എറണാകുളം എന്നിവിടങ്ങളിൽ വിറകിന്നുള്ള സക്കാർ നിരക്കെന്തെന്ന പറയുവാൻ ഗവമ്മെണ്ടു് കനിയുമോ?

Mr. S. Venkateswaran *(Conservator of Forests):*

തൃശ്ശിവപേരൂർ ടൌണിൽ ഇപ്പോഴത്തെ സക്കാർ നിരക്കുവില ടൺ ഫ-ക്കു് ഫ ൪ കയും എറണാകുളം ടൌണിൽ ഫ വു കയും ആകുന്നു. നെന്മാറയിലും ചിറ്റൂരിലും ഗവ മ്മേണ്ടിന്റെ അധീനത്തിൽ വിറകുഡപ്പോകൾ സ്ഥാപിച്ചിട്ടില്ല.

മിസ്റ്റർ സി. കെ. നായർ: നെമ്മാറയിലും ചിറ്റൂരിലും വിറകു് ഇന്നവിലയിൽ കൂടുതൽ വിൽക്കുവാൻ പാടില്ലെന്നു നിശ്ചയിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ എസ്സു്. വെങ്കിടേശ്വരൻ: ഇല്ല.

POINTS RAISED REGADRING B. FORM PERMIT

75. Mr. C. K. Nayar *(Nemmara):*

താഴെ കാണുന്ന ചോദ്യങ്ങൾക്കു ഉത്തരം പറയുവാൻ ഗവർമേണ്ടിന്നു ദയവുണ്ടാകുമോ:—

(എ) ഫ ഫ ഫവു-ലെ ബഡ്ജറ്റ സമ്മേളനത്തിൽ അവതരിപ്പിക്കപ്പെട്ട ഫ ൪-ാം നമ്പർ ഉപക്ഷേപത്തിലെ (ബിഫാറം പാസ്സുകാര്യം) വാദമുഖങ്ങളെ ഗവർമെണ്ടു് ആലോചനാവിഷയമാക്കുകയുണ്ടായൊ;

(ബി) ഉവ്വെങ്കിൽ അതിന്റെ ഫലമെന്തു്?

Mr. S. Venkateswaran *(Conservator of Forests):*

(എ) ഉവ്വു്.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(ബി) നിലവിലിരിക്കുന്ന നടപടികൾ കൊണ്ട് പായത്തക്ക ബുദ്ധിമുട്ടകൾക്ക കാരണം കാണുന്നില്ല.

മിസ്റ്റർ സി. കെ. നായർ: ബുദ്ധിമുട്ടില്ലെന്ന പറഞ്ഞിരിക്കുന്നതു് ജനങ്ങൾക്കോ ഗവ ൺമെണ്ടിനോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ എസ്സ്. വെങ്കിടേശ്വരൻ: ജനങ്ങൾക്കു്.

മിസ്റ്റർ സി. കെ. നായർ: പലപ്രകാരത്തിലുള്ള ബുദ്ധിമുട്ടകളെക്കുറിച്ചുള്ള പ്രസ്താവ നകൾ ഈ നിയമസഭയിലുണ്ടായിട്ടും അതു ബോദ്ധ്യമായിട്ടില്ലേ?

മിസ്റ്റർ എസ്സ്. വെങ്കിടേശ്വരൻ: ബുദ്ധിമുട്ടുണ്ടെന്ന ഗവൺമെണ്ടിനു ബോദ്ധ്യമില്ല.

മിസ്റ്റർ എ. ബി. സേലം: ഗവർമെണ്ടിനെ ജനങ്ങൾ ബുദ്ധിമുട്ടിച്ചു ഇറങ്ങീട്ടുണ്ടോ?

മിസ്റ്റർ എസ്സ്. വെങ്കിടേശ്വരൻ: ഇല്ല.

VOTING OF DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

15—General Administration—Rs. 2,17,963

Mr. President: Voting of demands for grants.

Rama Varmah Tampuran *(Secretary to Government):* I rise to move for an allotment of Rs. 2,17,963 under "15—General Administration". No speech is necessary on my part within regard to any of the items contained in this demand. I request the House may be pleased to consider this grant and pass it.

Mr. Presidant: It has struck me that possibly the first two of these cut motions cover much the same ground and so may be taken together.

Mr. Joseph Pettah *(Adur):* One is a token out and the other is for total omission.

Mr. President: In that case they should be taken separately.

Mr. Ephraim Ouseph *(Narakkal):* I am satisfied if the first alone is taken up.

Mr. President: Yes.

CUT MOTION No. 1

Transferred Departments—re-transfer of

Mr. C. V. Iyyu *(Kunnamkulam):* To reduce the allotment of Rs. 6,000 for General Administration by Re. 1.—*To impress upon the Government the immediate necessity to re-transfer all the Transferred Departments now administered by the Hon'ble Minister for Rural Development to the Present Diwan for purpose of overhauling for at least one official year for the time being from the beginning of 1120.* First of all I may be allowed to say that I move this resolution mainly with the purpose of making both the public of Cochin and the public at large outside Cochin and also administrators of other native States in British India who are diffident to grant responsible Government to their subjects and also to convince our benign ruler that non official members of the Legislative Council are competent to administer any department handed over to them however important it may be. The ultimate ideal which ought to result from this sort of retransfer ought to be a grant of complete responsible Government to the State and abolition of the post of Diwan. If the Government is convinced that the administration of these departments has been conducted properly I mean the Transferred Departments have been conducted properly within the last 6 years by mainly 2 ministers. Administrative experience is a great thing to administer any department in the Government. Sir Shanmukham who advised our late ruler of blessed memory to grant us responsible Government at least in the form of the shadow of a diarchy which we are now working was not a Diwan who had considerable experience as the present Diwan or even the ex-Diwan or any of his predecessors in office as Diwan. Of course that was a trial for the first time of having a politician Diwan. There have been doubts expressed by many expert statesmen in India and elsewhere whether this reform will be a success or not. It is either fortunate or unfortunate that this Council continued to work reforms from the commencement of this Council, I mean the fifth Council and except by certain bye-elections the personnel of this Council had not been mainly changed and this Council has got the unique privilege of having unseated a minister by a vote of no confidence so that it was experimented whether the rulers will allow the voice of the representatives of the people to prevail in their choice of Minister successfully. This Council had been closely associated during the history of its life what-ever imperfections there might have been with the so called shadow of democracy or part of responsible Government which we have secured. It is now more than 5 years, nay, 6 years that both the public in Cochin and outside Cochin have been viewing what the prospects of the working of these reforms are some probably irresponsible people say

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly